GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM, BOLANGIR

(Infront of Children's Park),

BOLANGIR-767001, Tel./Fax:-(06652) 235741

E-mail: grfwesco.bgr@rediffmail.com/ Grf.bolangir@tpwesternodisha.com

<u>Bench: Er. Kumuda Bandhu Sahu (President)</u>,

Sri Prasanta Kumar Sahoo (Member (Finance)), Sri Krupasindhu Padhee, (Co-Opted Member)

1095(5) Memo No.GRF/BGR/Order/

Dated, the 30/11/2024

Corum:

BOLANGIR

Er. Kumuda Bandhu Sahu Sri Prasanta Kumar Sahoo President

Member (Finance)

1	Case No.	Complaint Case No. BGR/736/2024						
	Complainant/s	Name & Address			Consumer No	umer No Contact No.		
		Sri Jagannath Juadi,			915302070082	917867	5277	
2		For Sri Rahasa Juadi,			÷,			
		At-Kudharikata, Po-Sahala,						
		Via-Dunguripali, Dist-Sonepur			,			
	Respondent/s	Name			Division			
3		S.D.O (Elect.), TPWODL, Binka			Sonepur Electrical Division, TPWODL, Sonepur			
4	Date of Application	28.11.2024						
5	In the matter of-	1. Agreement/Termination		2. Billing Disputes √			1	
		3. Classification/Reclassi-		4. Contract Demand / Connected				
		fication of Consumers		Load				
		5. Disconnection /		6. Installation of Equipment &				
		Reconnection of Supply 7. Interruptions		apparatus of Consumer 8. Metering				
		9. New Connection		10. Quality of Supply & GSOP				
					ing of Service Connection &			
			equipments					
		13. Transfer of Consumer		14. Voltage Fluctuations				
		Ownership						
		15. Others (Specify) –						
6	Section(s) of Electricity	tion(s) of Electricity Act, 2003 involved						
7	OERC Regulation(s)	1. OERC Distribution (Conditions of Supply) Code,2019;						
	with Clauses	Clause(s) 155, 157 2. OERC Distribution (Licensee's Standard of Performance) Regulations, 2004; Clause 3. OERC Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004; Clause 4. Odisha Grid Code (OGC) Regulation, 2006; Clause 5. OERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Tariff) Regulations, 2004; Clause						
-		6. Others						
8	Date(s) of Hearing	28.11.2024						
9	Date of Order	30.11.2024						
10	Order in favour of	Complainant √ Responde	ent		0	thers		
11	Details of Compensation Nil							
	awarded, if any.							

Place of Hearing:

Camp Court at Cherupali

Appeared:

For the Complainant

-Sri Jagannath Juadi

For the Respondent

-Sri Sanket Kumar Das, ESO, Cherupali (Representative)

Complaint Case No. BGR/736/2024

Sri Jagannath Juadi, For Sri Rahasa Juadi, At-Kudharikata, Po-Sahala, Via-Dunguripali, Dist-Sonepur Con, No. 915302070082 **COMPLAINANT**

-Versus-

Sub-Divisional Officer, Electrical Sub-Division, TPWODL, Binka **OPPOSITE PARTY**

ORDER (Dt.30.11.2024)

HISTORY OF THE CASE

The Complaint petition filed by the representative of the consumer Shri Jagannath Juadi who is a LT-Dom. consumer availing a CD of 1 KW. He has disputed about the average bill raised from Mar-Apr/2005 to Aug-Sep/2019. He was filed his grievances for revision of bill. The complainant needs suitable bill revision for the said period.

The case was heard in detail.

PROCEEDING OF HEARING DATED: 28.11.2024

SUBMISSION OF COMPLAINANT DURING HEARING

The complainant is a consumer under Cherupali section of Binka Sub-division. The complainant represented that he was served with average bill from Mar-Apr/2005 to Aug-Sep/2019 due to meter defective. For that, the total outstanding has been accumulated to ₹ 1,35,455.08p upto Oct.-2024. The complainant raised dispute against the said period and requested before the Forum for suitable revision of the bill.

SUBMISSION OF OPPOSITE PARTY DURING HEARING

The OP appeared before the Forum with relevant record. On defence, he intimated that the consumer is a LT-Dom. consumer availing power supply prior to Apr-1999. The billing dispute raised by the complainant for the average billing from Mar-Apr/2005 to Aug-Sep/2019 was due to meter defective for that period. A new meter with sl. no. LW430571 was installed during Nov-2019, thereafter actual billing was done. As the above-stated period bill has not revised, it needs bill revision.

Considering the above, the OP requested before the Forum for revision of previous disputed bills and pass order as deemed fit.

MEMBEŘ (Fin.)

PRESIDENT

Page 2 of 3

FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS OF THE FORUM

The consumer is a LT-Dom. consumer with a CD of 1 KW. The consumer has availed power supply prior to Apr-1999 and total outstanding upto Oct.-2024 is ₹ 1,35,455.08p. As complained by the complainant and submission of OP, it is observed by the Forum that,

1. The complainant represented that due to meter defective, he was served with average bills from Mar-Apr/2005 to Aug-Sep/2019 which needs bill revision.

The OP admitted the complaint and submitted that a new meter has been installed with meter no. LW430571 during Nov-2019 and thereafter actual billing has been done. The defective billing period needs bill revision as per consumption of new meter.

In the instant case, it is surprised that the OP has allowed the consumer to continue with defective meter for more than fourteen years. Due to delay in installation of new meter, average billing was done which could have been avoided if the OP has installed the meter without delay for which it is advised to the OP to be taken care in future.

During the course of hearing, the OP has admitted with the billing complaints and initiated bill revision process on the spot observing departmental guidelines. Accordingly, the monthly bill has been recalculated with the consumption and an amount of ₹ 83,639.55p is to be withdrawn from the arrear outstanding.

2. The complainant has not paid the monthly bill regularly for which the total has been accumulated to ₹ 1,35,455.08p upto Oct.-2024.

In view of above facts and circumstances and after going through the documents submitted by both the parties, the Forum pronounces the following order as per regulations of the OERC Distribution (Conditions of Supply) Code 2019.

The OP was agreed with the billing dispute and revised the bill on spot and the petitioner was convinced with the proposed withdrawal amount of ₹ 83,639.55p. Hence, the Forum directed the OP to carry-out the revision proposal and must be reflected in the next bill.

Case is disposed off accordingly.

Compliance report must be submitted to the Forum by the opposite party within one month after receipt of GRF order otherwise it will be treated as non-compliance.

P.K.SAHOO MEMBER (Fin.) K.B.SAHU PRESIDENT

Copy to: -

- 1. Sri Jagannath Juadi, At-Kudharikata, Po-Sahala, Via-Dunguripali, Dist-Sonepur.
- 2. Sub-Divisional Officer, Electrical Sub-Division, TPWODL, Binka.
- 3. DFM/ AFM/ JFM, Sonepur Electrical Division, TPWODL, Sonepur.
- 4. Superintending Engineer, Electrical Circle, TPWODL, Bolangir.
- 5. Chief Legal, Head Quarter Office, TPWODL, Burla.

The order is also available at TPWODL Web site; tpwesternodisha.com \rightarrow customer zone \rightarrow Grievance Redressal Forum \rightarrow BOLANGIR \rightarrow (GRF CASE NO.)

"If the Complainant is aggrieved with this order or non-implementation of the order of the Grievance Redressal Forum in time, he/she can make the representation to the Ombudsman-II, Qrs. No.3R-2(S), GRIDCO Colony, P.O:Bhoinagar, Bhubaneswar-751022 within 30 days from the date of order of the Grievance Redressal Forums."